### RL-BLH: Learning-Based Battery Control for Cost Savings and Privacy Preservation for Smart Meters

Jinkyu Koo, Xiaojun Lin, and Saurabh Bagchi Purdue University, West Lafayette {kooj, linx, sbagchi}@purdue.edu



### Introduction

### **Smart meters**



from https://stopsmartmeters.org

#### Smart meters

 Report fine-grained profiles of energy usage

# • Many benefits to utility companies

- Management cost down, demand prediction, time-ofuse pricing, and so on
- Customers also beneficial
- But also threaten user privacy!



# Privacy issues (1/2)



#### low-frequency variation



from https://smartgridawareness.org

# Privacy issues (2/2)



#### high-frequency variation





## Delaying the era of smart grids



from https://stopsmartmeters.org



from CBS 5 News in Phoenix, Arizona

"I want my old meter back, paying \$5 fee each month for employees to read the meter."





BCSMART METER LAWSUIT.CA



# **Battery-based load hiding (BLH)**



- A battery between the smart meter and appliances
- What the smart meter reports
  - How we charge the battery
- Appliances use energy stored in the battery
  - Decouples meter readings from actual usage profile
- Has some limitations



# Typical ways to control the battery





### • Flattening high-frequency components [1,2]

- Effective in hiding load signatures
- Does not change much the shape of usage profile envelop
- Discrete-state Markov decision process (MDP) [3]
  - Can hide both low- and high-frequency components
  - Required to know the probability distribution of usage profile
  - Quantization: performance vs. complexity

[1] Kalogridis et al.,, "Privacy for smart meters: Towards undetectable appliance load signatures"
 SmartGridComm2010
 [2] Yang et al., "Minimizing private data disclosures in the smart grid" CCS2012

[3] Koo et al., "Privatus: Wallet-friendly privacy protection for smart meters." ESORICS2012



# **Our contributions**

- Hides both low- and high-frequency variations of usage profile in practical setup
  - No quantization of energy usage
  - No knowledge about probability distribution of usage
- Cost savings by exploiting Time-of-Use (TOU) pricing
  - Charge the battery when price is low and use the stored energy when the price is high
  - Reinforcement learning based optimal decisions on how much to charge

#### • Speedup learning

- Synthetic data generation in run-time
- Reuse of data in early phases



### Solution approach

## System model



# **Privacy protection**

- Changing  $y_n$  in every n was shown to be not good.
  - Causes significant correlation between  $x_{n-1}$  and  $y_n$

From Koo et al., "Privatus: Wallet-friendly privacy protection for smart meters." ESORICS2012

- We shape the meter readings as rectangular pulses.
  - Change the values of  $y_n$  only once every  $n_D$  measurement intervals
  - Like high-frequency flattening, this reduces correlation between  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  for  $n_D$  intervals
- The pulse magnitude changes for cost savings
  - Hides low-frequency variation as well, since the magnitude is determined mainly based on the current battery level, not the shape of usage profile





# Cost savings (1/2)

#### • How to achieve cost savings?

Charge a battery when price is low, and use the stored energy when price is high

#### • Cost savings of a day, denoted by S:





maximum cost savings =  $(r_H - r_L)b_M$ 

e.g.,  $r_L$ =7.04 cent per kWh and  $r_H$ =21.09 cent per kWh



# Cost savings (2/2)

• Cost savings for the k-th decision interval

 $S_k(a) =$ 

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{n_M} r_n (x_n - y_n)$$

• The maximum cost savings of a day

$$\max E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\kappa_M} S_k(a)\right) = \max_a Q^*(1, B_1, a)$$

$$Q^*(k, B_k, a)$$

$$k - k + 1 - end$$

$$S_k(a) \max_{a'} Q^*(k + 1, B_k + z, a')$$

Bellman equations  

$$Q^{*}(k, B_{k}, a) = \int_{-x_{M}n_{D}}^{x_{M}n_{D}} P_{k}(z) \left(S_{k}(a) + \max_{a'} Q^{*}(k+1, B_{k} + z, a')\right) dz$$
The maximum cost savings we can achieve with *a* from *k* to *k\_{M}*
Probability that the change in the battery level is z from *k* to *k* + 1
Probability that the change in the battery level is z from *k* to *k* + 1
Immediate return with *a* at *k*
Immediate return with *a* at *k*

 $\sum_{n \leq n} r_n(x_n - a)$ 

**RDUE** Dependable Computing Systems Laboratory

The maximum we can achieve from k+1 to  $k_M$ 

### **Reinforcement learning**

### Reinforcement learning to maximize cost savings

#### • $Q^*(k, B_k, a)$ estimated by a running average

$$Q^{*}(k, B_{k}, a) = \int_{-x_{M}n_{D}}^{x_{M}n_{D}} P_{k}(z) \left( \frac{S_{k}(a) + \max_{a'} Q^{*}(k+1, B_{k} + z, a')}{unknown} \right) dz \qquad Q^{*}(k, B_{k}, a) = E(\cdot) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} sample_{i}$$

$$Q^{*}(k, B_{k}, a) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)Q(k, B_{k}, a) + \alpha \left( S_{k}(a) + \max_{a'} Q(k+1, B_{k+1}, a') \right) \qquad \text{Q learning}$$

can be rewritten as:  

$$Q(k, B_k, a)$$
  
 $\leftarrow Q(k, B_k, a) + \alpha \left( S_k(a) + \max_{a'} Q(k+1, B_{k+1}, a') - Q(k, B_k, a) \right)$ 

 $\Delta Q(k, B_k, a)$ 

**RDUE** Dependable Computing Systems Laboratory

 $Q(k, B_k, a)$  converges when  $\Delta Q(k, B_k, a)$  goes to zero

# **Q** approximation

• The number of possibilities for state  $(k, B_k, a)$  is infinite

$$Q(k, B_k, a) \leftarrow Q(k, B_k, a) + \alpha \left( S_k(a) + \max_{a'} Q(k+1, B_{k+1}, a') - Q(k, B_k, a) \right)$$

a continuous variable

- Explicitly representing  $Q(k, B_k, a)$  for all possible states is infeasible.
- Approximate  $Q(k, B_k, a)$  by a linear combination of representative features

$$Q(k, B_k, a) = \sum_{i=0}^{5} w_i^{(a)} f_i(k, B_k)$$

i	0	1	2	3	4	5
$f_i(k, B_k)$	1	$\overline{k}$	$\overline{b}$	$\overline{k}\overline{b}$	$\overline{k}^2$	$\overline{b}^2$

 $\overline{k} = k/k_M$   $\overline{b} = B_k/b_M$ 



# Training

• We minimize  $E(\Delta Q(k, B_k, a)^2)$ 

$$Q(k, B_k, a) \leftarrow Q(k, B_k, a) + \alpha \left( S_k(a) + \max_{a'} Q(k+1, B_{k+1}, a') - Q(k, B_k, a) \right)$$
$$\Delta Q(k, B_k, a)$$

• With the stochastic gradient descent, the weights can be learned by:

$$w_i^{(a)} \leftarrow w_i^{(a)} + \alpha \Delta Q(k, B_k, a) f_i(k, B_k)$$
  
learning rate



# Means to expedite learning

#### • Generating synthetic data on the fly

- Convergence to the optimal decision policy takes time, which is proportional to the time to collect enough number of training samples
- Can reduce the time to convergence by feeding artificially generated data
- Every d<sub>G</sub> days, we generate t<sub>G</sub> days of artificial usage profiles

   x<sub>n</sub> is sampled according to its statistical characteristic that is coarsely learned

#### Reuse of data

- Initial values of weights  $w_i^{(a)}$  are random
- In early phase, data is not fully utilized
- Until the first  $d_R$  days, we store the usage profile of each day, and retrain the system  $t_R$  times using the profiles



### Experiments

### **Evaluation metrics**

• Mutual information (MI)

The smaller the better

$$H(\chi) = -\sum_{i} P(\chi = i) \log_2 P(\chi = i)$$

 $X_n = (x_n, x_{n+1})$  $Y_n = (y_n, y_{n+1})$ 

#### normalized and averaged

-

 $\frac{H(X_n) - H(X_n|Y_n)}{H(X_n)}$ 

uncertainty reduction by observing  $Y_n$ 

High-frequency variation: Load signatures

• Pearson correlation coefficient (CC)

The smaller the better

$$CC = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{M} (x_n - \bar{x}) \sum_{n=1}^{M} (y_n - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{n_M} (x_n - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{n=1}^{n_M} (y_n - \bar{y})^2}}$$

 $n_M-1$ 

 $MI = \frac{1}{n_M - 1}$ 

Low-frequency shape: Behavioral patterns

sample means



$$SR = E\left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{n_M} r_n(x_n - y_n)}{\sum_{n=1}^{n_M} r_n x_n}\right)$$

**Cost savings** 

# Comparison with a prior scheme (1/2)





(b) Low-pass (high-frequency flattening)

[1] Kalogridis et al.,, "Privacy for smart meters: Towards undetectable appliance load signatures" SmartGridComm2010



# Comparison with a prior scheme (2/2)





# **Effects of heuristics for speedup**





# **Concluding remarks**

- RL-BLH hides both low- and high-frequency signals in energy usage
  - Protection to high-frequency information comparable to the low-pass filtering
  - Protection to low-frequency information superior to the low-pass filtering

#### • Cost savings by exploiting Time-of-Use (TOU) pricing

- ~15% cost savings with 5kWh battery in a typical home
   ✓ Cost saving is proportional to the battery capacity
- Provides an economical benefit in addition to privacy protection
- Caters to cost-conscious as well as privacy-conscious users

#### • Speedup learning

- Significantly reduces the learning time
- Makes the solution practical

